PHILIP D. STERN & ASSOCIATES, LLC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
697 Valley Street, Suite 2d
Maplewood, NJ 07040
(973) 379-7500
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Christine M. Nicholas

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

CHRISTINE M. NICHOLAS, Plaintiff,

vs.

CELENTANO, STADTMAUER & WALENTOWICZ, L.L.P., a New Jersey limited liability partnership, Defendant.

11-cv-5585-CCC-JAD

JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN

Conference Scheduled for: February 3, 2012, 11:30 AM

1. Set forth the name of each attorney appearing, the firm name, address and telephone number and facsimile number of each, designating the party represented.

Philip D. Stern, Esq. Richard P Philip D. Stern & Associates, LLC Jennifer T 697 Valley Street, Suite 2d Fineman, Maplewood, NJ 07040 BNY Mello (973) 379-7500 1735 Mar Attorneys for Plaintiff, Christine Suite 600 Nicholas Philadelp

Richard Perr, Esquire
Jennifer Tatum Root, Esquire
Fineman, Krekstein & Harris, P.C
BNY Mellon Center
1735 Market Street
Suite 600
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Attorneys for Defendant, Celentano,
Stadtmauer & Walenowicz, L.L.P.

2. Set forth a brief description of the case, including the causes of action and defenses asserted.

PLAINTIFF: This is a putative class action which arises under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. Plaintiff alleges that the Defendant law firm is a debt collector which sent form letters which failed to properly state the terms of a notice prescribed under 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) which is commonly referred to as the "30-day Notice."

Specifically, the notice requires that the consumer be informed of rights which are triggered upon disputing the debt *in writing*. Defendant omitted the "in writing." There are cases from other districts which hold that this omission violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) and is false and deceptive. Plaintiff does not seek actual damages for herself or the class. The FDCPA provides for statutory damages capped at \$1,000 for Plaintiff and the lesser of \$500,000 or 1% of Defendant's net worth for the class.

DEFENDANT: Defendant denies that its letter violates the FDCPA. It is Defendant's practice, upon a consumer's verbal dispute, to obtain verification of the debt and mail a copy of such verification to the consumer. Further, it is Defendant's practice, upon receiving a consumer's verbal request, to provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

·			
3.	Have settlement discussions taken place? Yes NoX		
3.01. (a) What was plaintiff's last demand?			
(1	Monetary demand: \$		
(2	Non-monetary demand:		
3.0	2. (b) What was defendant's last offer?		
(1	Monetary offer: \$		
(2	Non-monetary offer:		
4. The parties [haveX have not] met pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 26(f):			
5. required	The parties [have have not $X_$ _] exchanged the information by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1). If not, state the reason therefor.		
_	ies expect to exchange disclosures prior to the Conference or nereafter.		
	Explain any problems in connection with completing the s required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1).		
None.			
	The parties [have have notX] conducted discovery the above disclosures. If so, describe.		
The part	es expect to serve initial interrogatories and document requests		

within 14 days after service of the Rule 26(a)(1) Disclosures.

	8. Proposed joint discovery plan:		
	(a) Discovery is needed on the following subjects:		
	Merits and class discovery will be handled together.		
(b) Discovery [should should notX] be conducted in phases or be limited to particular issues. Explain.			
(c) Proposed schedule:			
	(1) Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 Disclosures by February 10, 2012.		
	(2) E-Discovery conference pursuant to L. Civ. R. 26.1(d) by February 10, 2012.		
	(3) Service of initial written discovery by February 24, 2012.		
	(4) Maximum of _25 Interrogatories by each party to each other party.		
	(5) Maximum of _10_ depositions to be taken by each party.		
	(6) Motions to amend or to add parties to be filed by 14 days after close of fact discovery.		
	(7) Factual discovery to be completed by June 1, 2012.		
	(8) Plaintiff's expert report due on June 29, 2012.		
	(9) Defendant's expert report due on July 20, 2012.		
	(10) Expert depositions to be completed by August 31, 2012.		
	(11) Dispositive motions to be served within TBD – see (d) days of completion of discovery.		
(d) Set forth any special discovery mechanism or procedure requested.			

Class certification motion should be filed no later than September 21, 2012. If the motion is granted, dispositive motions should be returnable after class notice and the opt-out deadline has expired.

(e) A pretrial conference may take place pending the outcome of

dispositive motions.
(f) Trial date: _TBD (_X_Jury Trial; Non-Jury Trial).
9. Do you anticipate any special discovery needs (i.e., videotape/telephone depositions, problems with out-of-state witnesses or documents, etc)? YesNo_X
If so, please explain.
10. Do you anticipate any issues about disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced?
Yes No X
If so, how will electronic discovery or data be disclosed or produced?
Describe any agreements reached by the parties regarding same, including costs of discovery, production, related software, licensing agreements, etc.
The parties stipulate that discovery demands and responses may be served by email using counsel's ECF email address. The responding party's counsel shall preserve the "wet ink" copy of discovery responses until the conclusion of the case and will promptly produce it upon request of any other party or the court.
11. Do you anticipate entry of a Discovery Confidentiality Order? See L.Civ.R. 5.3(b) and Appendix S.
One or both of the parties may seek such an Order. Both parties consent if the other desires such an Order. A request for such an Order will follow L.Civ.R. 5.3(b) and Appendix S.
12. Do you anticipate any discovery problem(s) not listed above? Describe.
Yes No X
13. State whether this case is appropriate for voluntary arbitration (pursuant to Local Civil Rule 201.1 or otherwise) or mediation (pursuant to Local Civil Rule 301.1 or otherwise).
If not, explain why and state whether any such procedure may be appropriate at a later time (i.e., after exchange of pretrial disclosures, after completion of depositions, after disposition or dispositive motions, etc.).

•	his case is not appropriate for arbitration. Upon very, the parties may seek some form of ADR.			
14. Is this case app	propriate for bifurcation? Yes NoX			
	status/settlement conference (with clients in inTO BE DISCUSSED AT THE CONFERENCE			
16. We [do do notX] consent to the trial being conducted by a Magistrate Judge.				
17. Identify any other issues to address at the Rule 16 Scheduling Conference.				
None.				
	Philip D. Stern & Associates, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiff, Christine M. Nicholas s/Philip D. Stern			
Dated: January 31, 2012 Philip D. Stern				
	Fineman, Krekstein & Harris, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant, Celentano, Stadtmauer & Walenowicz, L.L.P. s/Jennifer Tatum Root			
Dated: January 31, 2012	Jennifer Tatum Root			